Inelastic x-ray scattering

Mark P. M. Dean Brookhaven National Laboratory

Cherion School SPring-8, September 16th 2015



a passion for discovery



Outline

Basics of inelastic x-ray scattering (IXS)

- What is IXS?
- What can be measured and why should you care?
- Cross section and S(Q, ω)

Different material degrees of freedom

- Lattice
- Spins
- Orbitals
- Charge

Plan for each of these

- Cross section
- Instrument
- Case study
- Advantages/disadvantages of IXS vs. competing methods



BASICS OF INELASTIC SCATTERING



Scattering

Elastic scattering

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} \qquad \omega_f = \omega_i$$

Inelastic scattering

 $\frac{d^2\sigma}{d\Omega d\omega} \quad \mathcal{W}_f \neq \mathcal{W}_i$

For condensed matter need $\lambda \approx a \approx 1$ Å i.e. x-rays!

Inelastic scattering – accessing dynamics & Hamiltonian

Inelastic scattering gives excitation spectrum i.e. eigenstates that determine the system's dynamics

Can infer Hamiltonian $H = \frac{g}{2} \sum_{n} (u_n - u_{n+1})^2$

Full description of interactions!



Degrees of freedom & excitations

Degree of freedom	Excitations	Very approximate Energy scale
Lattice	Phonons	0->200 meV
Spin	Magnon, bimagnon, spinon,	0-500 meV
Orbital	dd-transition or orbiton	~1-5 eV
Charge	Plasmon, charge transfer excitation	~0-100 eV

X-rays can access all these excitations! Very stringent tests for model Hamiltonians related to all these degrees of freedom.



Excitations spectrum of a metal





Excitation spectrum of a strongly correlated material

Mott insulator La₂CuO₄





Word of warning

These excitations cannot be measured in one experiment! Lots of parameters need to be optimized for a particular goal

- Working energy
- Energy resolution / throughput trade off
- Non-resonant or resonant (and which resonance)

IXS is consists of many different sub techniques each with specialized instruments

Fail to plan – plan to fail!



Kramers–Heisenberg formula

$$\frac{d^{2}\sigma}{d\Omega d\omega} \propto \left| \langle f \mid H_{\text{int}} \mid i \rangle + \sum_{|n\rangle} \frac{\langle f \mid H_{\text{int}} \mid n \rangle \langle n \mid H_{\text{int}} \mid i \rangle}{E_{i} - E_{n} + \hbar \omega_{i} + i\Gamma} \right|^{2}$$
1st term
2nd term

Interaction between photon and electrons in a material though $H_{\rm int}$

Preferable route

Brookhaven Science Associates





Ament et al. Rev. Mod. Phys. 83, 705 (2011)

Dynamical structure factor S(Q, \omega)

$$S(Q,\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \, e^{-i\omega t} \left\langle \rho(Q,t=0)\rho^{+}(Q,t) \right\rangle$$

$$S(Q,\omega) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\chi''(Q,\omega)}{1 - \exp(-\omega / K_B T)}$$

 $\chi(Q,\omega) = \chi'(Q,\omega) + i\chi''(Q,\omega)$

$$P = \varepsilon_0 \chi E$$
$$M = \chi H$$

Response of material to a small oscillating field



MEASURING THE LATTICE I.E. PHONONS



Case study: MgB₂

Superconductor at 39 K record (at the time) for noncuprate

Flurry of activity to determine superconducting mechanism



Phonons?

Brookhaven Science Associates

BROOKHAVEN

J. Nagamatsu et al., Nature 410, 63-64 (2001)

Back to Kramers–Heisenberg formula

$$\frac{d^{2}\sigma}{d\Omega d\omega} \propto \left| \langle f | H_{\text{int}} | i \rangle + \sum_{|n\rangle} \frac{\langle f | H_{\text{int}} | n \rangle \langle n | H_{\text{int}} | i \rangle}{E_{i} - E_{n} + \hbar \omega_{i} + i\Gamma} \right|^{2}$$

$$1^{\text{st} \text{ term}} \qquad 2^{\text{nd} \text{ term}}$$

 $E_i \neq E_n$ 1st term >> 2nd term

$$H_{\text{int}} = H_{\text{Thomson}} = \frac{1}{2} r_0 \sum_{j} \mathbf{A}^2(r_j, t) \qquad \mathbf{A}(r_j, t) \quad \text{vector potential}$$

Weak interaction

$$\frac{d^2\sigma}{d\Omega d\omega} = r_0^2 (\varepsilon_i \cdot \varepsilon_f)^2 \frac{k_i}{k_f} |f(Q)|^2 S(\mathbf{Q}, \omega)$$

for an element Brookhaven Science Associates



$$S(\mathbf{Q},\omega) = \sum_{j} \left\langle n(\omega) + \frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2} \right\rangle \frac{1}{E_{j}(\mathbf{q})} F_{in}(\mathbf{Q}) \delta(\omega \pm E_{j}(q))$$

$$F_{iij} = \left| \sum M_k^{-1/2} f_k(\mathbf{Q}) [e_k^j(\mathbf{q}) \cdot \mathbf{Q}] \exp(i\mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{r}) \exp(-w_k) \right|^2$$

Which phonons are highest intensity?

General trends for scattering geometry?

Full calculations are required for a full-proof plan!



0

Instrument concept

Required energy resolution $2 \text{ meV} / 20 \text{ keV} = 10^{-7} !$

Bragg optics

 $\frac{\Delta E}{E} \propto \frac{\Delta d}{d} \quad \text{require high energy x-ray} \sim 20 \text{ keV}$ $\lambda = 2d \sin(\Theta_B) \quad \Delta \theta = \tan(\Theta_B) \frac{\Delta E}{E} \quad \Theta_B \approx 90^\circ$

Simplest mono & analyzer based on Si reflections in close-to-back-scattering geometry



An IXS spectrometer for phonons: HERIX, Sector 30, APS



Other instruments: ID28 ESRF, Brookhaven Science Associates BL35XU SPring8



Scans use fixed E_f and vary E_i

1.4 meV

9

m

HERIX-30

Phonon spectra

Stokes / Anti-Stokes

Energies match DFT

But broadening of "E_{2g}" mode



FIG. 1 (color online). Energy loss scan in almost transverse geometry measured at $\mathbf{Q} = (1 \ 2 \ 0.3)$ corresponding to 0.6 Γ -A. The data, normalized to the incident flux, are shown with the least-squares fit (dashed line) and the *ab initio* spectrum with and without broadening due to experiment and electron phonon coupling (solid lines). The broad peak corresponds to the damped E_{2g} mode and is shown in greater detail in the inset. The peak at zero is due to diffuse scattering.

Shukla et al., PRL 90, 095506 (2003) Baron et al, PRL 92, 197004 (2004)



Phonon dispersion

Phonon width contributions

- Disorder X
- Anhamonicity X
- Electron-phonon coupling

 $\lambda_{v}(q) = \frac{\gamma_{v}(q)}{2\pi N(0)\omega_{v}^{2}(q)}$

Compelling verification of e-ph coupling driven SC



NATIONAL LABORATORY

Advantage/disadvantages x-ray vs. neutrons for phonons

Use x-rays

- Small samples
- High pressures
- Q, E access
- Need good Q resolution

Use neutrons

- Sub meV energy resolution
- Certain light atoms within compounds – particularly oxygen
- Many more INS spectrometers!

Raman scattering easier and cheaper if Q-resolution is not required!



MEASURING THE SPINS I.E. MAGNETIC EXCITATIONS



Kramers-Heisenberg equation

$$\frac{d^{2}\sigma}{d\Omega d\omega} \propto \left| \langle f | H_{\text{int}} | i \rangle + \sum_{|n\rangle} \frac{\langle f | H_{\text{int}} | n \rangle \langle n | H_{\text{int}} | i \rangle}{E_{i} - E_{n} + \hbar \omega_{i} + i\Gamma} \right|^{2}$$
1st term
2nd term

1st term – very low sensitivity to magnetism

Use E_i=E_n

Gibbs J. App. Phys. 57, 3615 (1985)

|n> is state with core hole:

- Increases cross section
- Allows coupling to "forbidden" excitations

Resonant inelastic x-ray scattering (RIXS)



Direct vs indirect RIXS

Direct

- Core electron → valance band
- Electron from *different* state fills core hole
- E.g. 2p-3d resonance in 3d⁹ cuprates

Indirect

- Core electron

 above valance band
- Electron from same state fills core hole
- Direct process dominate indirect process when not forbidden
- E.g. 1s-4p resonance in 3d⁹ cuprates



Cu L-edge RIXS in the cuprates



Cu K-edge RIXS in the cuprates



Cu L-edge vs. Cu K-edge: La₂CuO₄



Instrument concept for soft x-rays

Soft x-rays: E<2 keV ; λ> 6.2 Å

No suitable Bragg reflections

Dispersive elements are gratings







SAXES at **SLS**

Resolution 130 meV



Brookhaven Science Associates

NATIONAL LABORATORY

Magnetism in the high temperature superconductors



Cu L-edge spectra



0.0

0.1

0.2

х

0.3

0.4

Dean et al. Nat. Mat. 12, 1019 (2013)

Advantage/disadvantages x-ray vs. neutrons for spin excitations

RIXS has only recently measured spin excitations

- First measurement bimagnons k-edge La₂CuO₄ Hill et al. PRL (2008)
- First measurement magnons L-edge La₂CuO₄ Braicovich PRL (2010)

Advantages

- Small samples
- Relative good count rates
- Good Q resolution
- Good at high E

Disadvantages

- Poor E resolution
- Upper limit on Q
- Complicated cross-section
- UHV required



Brookhaven Science Associates M. P. M. Dean, JMMM 15, 3-13 (2015)

Allows measurement of very small samples



Inelastic scattering from 25 isolated, single unit cell thick layers of La₂CuO₄

Compare to INS: La_2CuO_4 47.5g of material (Headings *et al.* PRL (2010)) RIXS is a factor of >10¹¹ more sensitive!

Brookhaven Science Associates M. P. M. Dean et al. Nature Materials (2012)



MEASURING ORBITAL EXCITATIONS



Iridates

The combined effect of:

- Strong spin-orbit (SO) coupling
- Octahedra crystal field splitting



Novel type of Mott insulator with J_{eff} (not S) magnetic moments Kim *et al.*, PRL 101, 076402 (2009)





Orbital transition in L-edge RIXS

$$\frac{d^2\sigma}{d\Omega d\omega} \propto \left| \sum_{|n\rangle} \frac{\langle f | \hat{D}(\varepsilon) | n \rangle \langle n | \hat{D}(\varepsilon) | i \rangle}{E_i - E_n + \hbar \omega_i + i\Gamma} \right|^2$$

Electric dipole selection rule for optics:

 $\Delta l = \pm 1 \quad (\text{not zero}) \qquad \Delta m_l = 0, \pm 1$

L-edge has two dipole operators:

- Direct on-site orbital transition are allowed



Instrument concept hard x-ray RIXS

For RIXS cannot use arbitrary E_i

Relax backscattering condition and search for best Bragg reflection $\Theta_{B} \approx 85^{\circ}$

Best energy resolution: $0.04 / 11200 = 4 \times 10^{-6}$



MERIX Sector 27 APS



Ir L-edge spectrum Sr₂IrO₄



Various excitations in spectrum

- Magnon
- Exciton

Etc

Consider single site model



Q dependence

Dispersive orbital mode

Mode lifetime >> decay rate

Quasi-particle is orbital excitation dressed with magnetic excitations



Kim et al., Nat. Comms. 5:4453 (2014)

Advantages/disadvantages of x-rays for orbital excitations

Raman

- Fast, excellent energy resolution and polarization control
- Not Q-resolved
- Not a simple cross-section

Neutrons

- dd-excitations only *indirectly* allowed
- Challenging to due limited neutron flux at incident energies of several eV

$$\frac{d^2\sigma}{d\Omega d\omega} \propto \left| \left\langle f \mid H_{\text{int}} \mid i \right\rangle \right|^2$$

Non resonant IXS

 At high Q higher order terms in H_{int} beyond dipole are important



MEASURING CHARGE EXCITATIONS



Cross section

Consider density-density correlation function for energy loss 1-100 eV range

$$S(Q,\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \, e^{-i\omega t} \left\langle \rho(Q,t=0)\rho^{+}(Q,t) \right\rangle$$

Excitations are propagating charge modes – plasmons.

Easiest to measure in materials with low atomic number elements



MERIX Sector 27 APS

Instrument needs:

- Energy range ~0-100 eV
- Energy resolution ~300 meV



Charge response of graphite/ graphene

Dynamical susceptibility linked to dielectric response

$$S(Q,\omega) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{1 - \exp(-\hbar\omega / k_B T)} \operatorname{Im} \left[-\chi(Q,\omega) \right]$$

In principle, a full description of charge response:

e.g. charge screening





Brookhaven Science Associates J.P. Reed et al., Science 330, 5 (2010)

Data



Electronic screening movie

time = 0. as



J.P. Reed et al., Science 330, 5 (2010)



Advantages/disadvantages ox x-rays for plasmons

Electron energy loss spectroscopy EELS

- Easier, cheaper
- Better sensitivity to small volumes
- Multiple scattering problems at high Q





More information

RIXS

L.J.P. Ament et al. Rev. Mod. Phys. 83, 705 (2011)

Non resonant IXS and Phonons

- A.Q.R. Baron, arXiv:1504.01098 (2015)
- H. Sinn, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **13**, 7525–7537 (2001)
- M. d'Astuto and M. Krisch, Collection SFN 10, 487–503 (2010)

IXS and Plasmons (and other topics)

 Electron Dynamics by Inelastic X-Ray Scattering, Winfried Schülke, Oxford (2007)

Inelastic scattering in general (focused on neutrons)

 G. Shirane, S.M. Shapiro and J.M. Tranquada, Neutron Scattering with a Triple Axis Spectrometer, Cambridge (2002)

